

Form 6

CITCE | Graduation Project | Research Report Evaluation

Project Title:	Date:
Examiner Name:	Time:

Criteria	A	B	C	D	
Abstract	Contains objectives, methodology and results	Clearly contains some of them but not all	Contains some of them but not in a clear way	Does not contain any element	
Keywords	Keywords are enough and relevant (carefully chosen)	Keywords are not enough but relevant	Keywords are not enough and not relevant	No keywords	
Introduction	Goes from the generic to the specific description of the problem	Presents the problem to be solved but not in a systematic way	The problem to be solved is presented in unclear way	The introduction is very poor	
Literature review	Contains enough description of most notable previous work.	Does not contain enough review	The literature review is shallow	Does not contain any review	
Originality	Compared with the literature review, the proposed work is different and original	Compared with the literature review, the proposed work is different	The report claims originality but it is a repetition of existing work	The report does not contain any aspect of originality	
Background	Clear definitions and description of all the elements needed	Unclear description but all elements exist	Some elements are missing	Most of the elements are missing	
Method. / experiments	Clear and detailed enough (to be repeated by someone else), and choices are justified	Clear and detailed to some extent, and choices are partially justified	Clear and detailed to some extent, most choices are not justified	Not clear, choices are not justified	
Results	Comprehensive and sound correct, interpreted.	Not comprehensive and (partially) questionable, interpreted	Comprehensive and sound correct, not interpreted / discussed enough	Not comprehensive and questionable, not interpreted enough	
Illustrations	Neat, correctly labelled and readable, support the results and completely integrated into the text	Not neat and/or not labelled and readable, but support the results and mostly integrated into the text	Neat, correctly labelled and readable, not support the results. not completely integrated into the text	Not neat labeled and/or not labelled and readable, do not support the results and are not integrated into the text	
References and citations	References are correctly written. All references are clearly cited	Most references are correctly written and correctly cited.	Many referenced are not well written Many references are not cited.	All References are ill written All References are not cited	
Conclusion	Reasonable, representative, and clearly linked to the original purpose	Reasonable, representative, but not clearly linked to the original purpose	Reasonable, representative, but not clearly linked to the original purpose	Not clear	
Language	Professional and very consistent writing, almost no typos, error-free use of grammar	Sound style and mostly consistent, some typos and grammar mistakes	Sound style and mostly consistent, with many typos and grammar mistakes	Poor writing style, mostly inconsistent, with many typos and grammar mistakes	
Structure and organization	Very well structured with smooth, logical links between the sections	Soundly structured, with logical links between most sections	Soundly structured, but without logical links between the sections	Has clear defects in the structure, with no logical links between the sections	

Notes: